

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/605,442	06/28/2000	Leon R. Barstad	50439-2	50439-2 5430	
21874 7	590 03/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ÉDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			NICOLAS, V	NICOLAS, WESLEY A	
P.O. BOX 558 BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/605,442	BARSTAD ET AL.	
<del>-</del>	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Wesley A. Nicolas	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper replication of the care o	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $6$ months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12/9/03</u> . Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	18.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s		amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or be ould be rejected is provided below.	n) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>124-153</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Wesley A. Nicolas Primary Examiner	2
		Art Unit: 1742	ł

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It was not timely filed and raises new issues for the purposes of appeal.